

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,874	10/15/2003	Hiroji Aga	109725.01	4805
25944	7590 06/29/2004		EXAM	INER
	ERRIDGE, PLC		ESTRADA, N	MICHELLE
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDE	9928 UA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2823	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(
	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	10/684,874 AGA ET AL.		•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michelle Estrada	2823	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	· -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	uply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communical	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte	·	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rrection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents.	nents have been received. nents have been received in A	oplication No. <u>09/857,803</u> .	
Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	

Αl	ιa	CI	Ш	16	11	Ц	S	į
	_	_						

1)	\bowtie	Notice of	References	Cited	(PTO-892)
----	-----------	-----------	------------	-------	-----------

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/15/03.

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,874

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Yamamoto (JP-10275905), Adachi et al. (6,074,479) and Wolf et al. (Vol. 1).

With respect to claim 1, Yamamoto discloses a method for producing an SOI wafer by the hydrogen ion delamination method comprising at least a step of bonding a base wafer (5) and a bond wafer (1) having a micro bubble layer formed by gas ion implantation (See fig. 1C) and a step of delaminating a wafer having an SOI layer at the micro bubble as a border (See fig. 1E).

Yamamoto does not disclose wherein a CZ wafer produced from a single crystal ingot of which COPs are reduced for the whole crystal is used as the bond wafer.

Adachi et al. discloses a wafer, which is a CZ wafer of which COPs (Crystal Originated Particles) at least on surface are reduced (Col. 1, lines 35-40); and annealing that endeavors to improve and enhance device characteristics by eliminating surface COPs and internal grown-in defects (Col. 4, lines 17-19).

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Yamamoto and Adachi et al. to enable the bond wafer formation step of Application/Control Number: 10/684,874

Art Unit: 2823

Yamamoto to be performed according to the teachings of Adachi et al. because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to look to alternative suitable methods of performing the disclosed bond wafer formation step of Yamamoto and art recognized suitability for an intended purpose has been recognized to be motivation to combine. See MPEP 2144.07. Further, it will enhance quality of the product.

Wolf et al. (Vol. 1) discloses that the CZ wafer can be produced from a single crystal ingot (See pages 23-25).

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Yamamoto, Adachi et al. and Wolf et al. to enable production of the CZ wafer to be performed in the process of the combination of Yamamoto and Adachi et al. because it has a relative high degree of crystal purity and it helps to simplify the process.

With respect to claim 2, Yamamoto discloses wherein the wafer having an SOI layer is subjected to a heat treatment under an atmosphere containing hydrogen in a batch processing type furnace after the delamination step (See Abstract).

With respect to claim 3, it will be obvious that the process of Yamamoto will result in an SOI wafer which has a RMS value of 0.5 nm or less concerning surface roughness for both 1 μ m square and 10 μ m square, because the same process is being performed in Yamamoto as that of the instant invention and it will yield the same result.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,874 Page 4

Art Unit: 2823

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Estrada whose telephone number is 571-272-1858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2800.

MEstrada June 23, 2004

> Olik Chaumuri Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800